UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov					
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/923,702	08/07/2001	Fumitake Yodo		1747	
7590 05/20/2004		EXAMINER			
Jay H. Maioli			FISCHER, ANDREW J		
Cooper & Dunham 1185 Avenue of the Americas			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY 10036			3627		
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary       Application No.       Application No.       Application No.         Office Action Summary       Advext.Fischer       3s27         - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence of the set of the oppication to be over sheet with the correspondence of the set of the oppication to be over sheet with the correspondence of the set of the oppication to be over sheet with the correspondence of the oppication to be over sheet with the correspondence of the oppication to be over the oppication to the over the oppication to the over the oppication	<b>_</b>		Λ				
Office Action Summary         Examiner Andrew J. Fischer         Art Unit           - The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the coverspondence where         327           Period for Reply         A HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE of This CoMMUNICATION.         Image: Communication appears on the cover sheet with the coverspondence where           • The product of reply appearies back the mathem of the communication of the CRT NEW.         Image: Communication of the CRT NEW.           • The product of reply appearies back the mathem of the communication.         • The product of the communication of the communication.           • The product of reply appearies back the mathem of the communication.         • The product of the communication.           • The product of reply appearies back the mathem of the communication.         • The product of the communication.           • The product of reply appearies back the mathem of the communication.         • The product of the communication.           • The action is FINAL.         2b) [Chi set to be communication.           • Disposition of Claims         • Dis Claim(s) is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is close in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Queyle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.           Disposition of Claims         • Disposition of Claims         • Disposition of claims           • Alp Claim(s) is large allowed.         • Disposition of claims         • Disposition of c		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Ardew J. Fischer     3627		09/923,702	YODO, FUMITAKE				
The KALLNG DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence of the set of Reply     A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM     THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.     Extension a time may be available under the provide of 30 CR 11 18(a), in no event, however, may a reply be firmly filed     if this pancial term may be available under the provide of 30 CR 11 18(a), in no event, however, may a reply be firmly filed     if this pancial term may be available under the provide of 30 CR 11 18(a), in no event, however, may a reply be firmly filed     if this pancial term may be available under the intervent of the communication, replication to back the Mathematication, the intervent of the communication, the intervent of the communication of the communication, the intervent of the communication of thecommunication.     Clistication is the control of communication of	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
Period for Reply         A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE @ MONTH(S) FROM         THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.         • Exhancing of them the parallelization of the provisions of 37 CTR. 136(a). In a event, however, may a neply be timely filed         • If the paradition of the trap standing date is the statuth of paradox bit apply and well apple SK (b) (ANNTES from the mataling date of the dominance transmitter is apply and the parallelization of thing (30) apply of well apply SK (b) (ANNTES from the mataling date of the dominance transmitter is apply and the parallelization of thing (30) apply well be considered for the provision of 37 CTR 1.121(a).         Status <ul> <li>Main Section is FINAL.</li> <li>Dig This action is final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the paradox and application.</li> <li>(a) Claim(s)</li></ul>	·						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.							
1)∑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 February 2004.         2a) This action is FINAL.       2b)∑ This action is non-final.         3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.         Disposition of Claims         4) Claim(s) g is/are pending in the application.         4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.         5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.         6)∑ Claim(s) is/are objected to.         8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.         8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.         8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.         Application Papers         9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.         10) The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.         Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).         Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).         Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).         11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.         Priority under 35 U.S	<ul> <li>A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any</li> </ul>						
2a) This action is FINAL.       2b)⊠ This action is non-final.         3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.         Disposition of Claims         4) ⊠ Claim(s) g is/are pending in the application.         4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.         5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.         6) ⊠ Claim(s) g is/are rejected.         7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.         8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.         8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.         10) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.         10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.         10) □ The drawing(s) is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.         Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119         12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).         a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:         1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No         3. □ Copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No         3. □ Copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No         3. □ Coreiss of the p	Status						
<ul> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> <li>Disposition of Claims <ul> <li>4) Claim(s) g is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) Claim(s) is/are rejected to.</li> <li>8) Claim(s) is/are rejected to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Application Papers <ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) Ali b) Some * c) None of:     Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li></ul></li></ul>	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>23 February 2004</u> .					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.         Disposition of Claims         4)       Claim(s) g is/are pending in the application.         4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.         5)       Claim(s) is/are allowed.         6)       Claim(s) is/are rejected.         7)       Claim(s) is/are objected to.         8)       Claim(s) is/are objected to.         9)       The specification is objected to perform and/or election requirement.         Application Papers       9)         9)       The specification is objected to by the Examiner.         10)       The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.         Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).         Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).         11)       The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.         Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119         12)       Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).         a)       All b)       Some* c)       None of:         1.       Certiffied copies of the priority documents have	2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-final.					
Disposition of Claims         4)⊠ Claim(s) g is/are pending in the application.         4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.         5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed.         6)⊠ Claim(s) is/are objected to.         8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.         Application Papers         9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.         10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.         Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).         Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).         11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.         Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119         12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).         a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:         1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.         2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.         3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No         3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received.         3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the certified copies not received.	3) Since this application is in condition for al	lowance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is				
4)       Claim(s) g is/are pending in the application.         4)       Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.         5)       Claim(s)is/are allowed.         6)       Claim(s)is/are objected to.         7)       Claim(s)is/are objected to.         8)       Claim(s)is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.         Application Papers       9)         9)       The specification is objected to by the Examiner.         10)       The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)       accepted or b)       objected to by the Examiner.         10)       The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)       accepted or b)       objected to by the Examiner.         10)       The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)       accepted or b)       objected to by the Examiner.         11)       The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.         Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119       12)       Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).         12)       Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).         12)       Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.         2.       Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage ap	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.         5)       Claim(s) is/are allowed.         6)       Claim(s) is/are objected to.         8)       Claim(s) is/are objected to.         8)       Claim(s) is/are objected to.         8)       Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.         10)       The specification is objected to by the Examiner.         10)       The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)         accepted or b)       objected to by the Examiner.         10)       The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)         accepted or b)       objected to by the Examiner.         10)       The drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).         11)       The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.         Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119       12)         12)       Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).         a)       All b)       Some * c)       Nome of:         1       Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.       Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	Disposition of Claims						
5)       Claim(s) is/are allowed.         6)       Claim(s) is/are rejected.         7)       Claim(s) is/are objected to.         8)       Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.         Application Papers         9)       The specification is objected to by the Examiner.         10)       The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)       accepted or b)       objected to by the Examiner.         10)       The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)       accepted or b)       objected to by the Examiner.         10)       The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)       accepted or b)       objected to by the Examiner.         10)       The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)       accepted or b)       objected to by the Examiner.         11)       The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.         Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119       12)       Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).         12)       Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).         12)       Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).         12)       Actimetical copies of the priority documents have been received.         2)       Certified	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
6)∑ Claim(s) g is/are rejected.         7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.         8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.         Application Papers         9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.         10) The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.         Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).         Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).         11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.         Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119         12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).         a) All b) Some * c) None of:         1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.         2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.         3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No         3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).         * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.         2. Opies of Dratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)       4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
7]       Claim(s)							
8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.         Application Papers         9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.         10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).         11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.         Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119         12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	6) Claim(s) <u>9</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers         9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.         10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).         11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.         Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119         12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> <li>Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119</li> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>Attachment(s)</li> <li>1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449 or PT0/SB/08)</li> <li>4) Interview Summary (PT0-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li></ul>	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
10)       The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).         11)       The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.         Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119         12)       Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.         1.       Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.         2.       Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	Application Papers						
10)       The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).         11)       The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.         Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119         12)       Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.         1.       Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.         2.       Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).         11)       The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.         Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119         12)       Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).         a)       All         b)       Some * c)         None of:          1.       Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.         2.       Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.         Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119         12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).         a) ☐ All       b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:         1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.         2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No         3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).         * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.         1) △ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)         1) △ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)         3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119         12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).         a) All b) Some * c) None of:         1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.         2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No         3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).         * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.         Attachment(s)         1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)       4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)         2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)       4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)         3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)       5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> Attachment(s) <ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ol>							
<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> Attachment(s) <ul> <li>1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)</li> </ul>	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>Attachment(s) <ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)         1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)       4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)         2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)       Paper No(s)/Mail Date         3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)       5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
1) ∑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)       4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)         2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)       Paper No(s)/Mail Date         3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)       5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
2)       Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)       Paper No(s)/Mail Date         3)       Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)       5)       Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			Summany (PTO 413)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		8) Paper No	(s)/Mail Date				
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5	B/08) 5) 🛄 Notice of I					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

 A request for continued examination ("RCE") under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 C.F.R. §1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office Action (Paper No. 13) has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.114.

## Acknowledgements

In accordance with the RCE noted above, Applicant's amendment filed December 17,
 2003 (Paper No. 14) has been entered. Accordingly, claim 9 remain pending.

3. This Office Action is written in OACS. Because of this, the Examiner is unable to control formatting, paragraph numbering, font, spelling, line spacing, and/or other word processing issues. The Examiner sincerely apologies for these errors.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC §102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. §102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office Action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Peterson, Jr. (U.S. 5,857,020) ("Peterson '020"). Peterson '020 discloses an accounting system having accounting center (16) and a terminal device (70, 98, and 86). The terminal device comprising: a first memory (within card 88, e.g. memory 91); a second memory (within medium 70, e.g. 79); a first controller (86 and 94 within 86); the controller updates the attributes of the distributed information to an unavailable state (e.g. when the expiration time expires, the desired content again becomes unavailable); the controller updates the accounting points stored in the first memory based upon the distributed information (the change in points is a function of the cost of the content accessed); when accounting points are updated correctly; the controller updates attributes of the distributed information from an unavailable state to the available state (i.e. the user can access the desired information and the charge for that access is deducted); and a second controller (modem). The accounting center comprises a third controller (the personal computer ("PC")) adapted to carry out an account processing (updating a user's account such as purchasing more points, inquiring into the number of points available, or receiving points for returned items, etc.) based upon the accounting points transmitted from the terminal device.

 Claim 9 is alternatively rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(a) as being anticipated by Peterson. See the analysis above.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC §103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. §103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office Action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claim 9 is alternatively rejected under 35 U.S.C. \$103(a) as being unpatentable over Peterson '020 in view of Goldman (U.S. 5,629,867).<sup>1</sup> It is the Examiner principle position that claim 9 is anticipated because of the inherencies involving the functional language (*e.g.* "a third controller configured to carry out an other accounting processing").

If not inherent, Goldman teaches that although only one processor (10) is required, to provide optimum performance, a processor system using two processors (10 and 12) is preferred (column 4, lines 38-45). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Peterson '020 as taught by Goldman to include a third controller as a redundant processor. Such a modification would have provided redundancy such that if one processor failed, the other processor could immediately take over without interruption.

Moreover, the claimed functions performed by "a third controller" in claim 7 could easily be performed by controller (32) in Peterson '020. Applicant is reminded that mere duplication of parts has no patentable significance unless a new and unexpected result is produced. *In re Harza*, 47 CCPA 771, 274 F.2d 669, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960).<sup>2</sup> In this case, it is the Examiner's factual determination that duplicating processors (whether its two or three

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See MPEP §2112 expressly authorizing alternative §102/§103 rejections when the question of inherency is present in the anticipation rejection.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See MPEP §2144 titled "Legal Precedent Can Provide the Rational Supporting Obviousness ..." for a discussion of how legal precedent can be used as a substitute for a motivational statement in obviousness rejections.

processors) would not produce new or unexpected results other than to increase processing speed.

9. For due process purposes, the Examiner again confirms that Applicant has decided not to be his own lexicographer by indicating and defining claim limitations to have meanings other than their ordinary and accustom meanings. The Examiner maintains his position on claim interpretation issues as noted in the previous office actions.

#### **Response to Arguments**

10. Applicant's arguments filed December 17, 2003 (Part of Paper No. 14) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

11. Applicant argues "that Peterson '020 fails to show or suggest storing account points in a memory built-in in the terminal device."<sup>3</sup> The Examiner has again carefully reviewed Peterson '020 and respectfully disagrees. Peterson '020 shows other embodiments where the memory is integral.

12. Applicant's remaining arguments have been considered but are also found unpersuasive.

# Conclusion

13. The following two (2) citations to the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure ("MPEP") apply to this Office Action: MPEP citations to Chapters 200, 700, 1800, and 2100 are from the MPEP 8<sup>th</sup> Edition, Rev 1, February 2003. All remaining MPEP citations are from MPEP 8<sup>th</sup> Edition, August 2001.

<sup>3</sup> Applicant's Arguments in filed December 17, 2003, Paper No. 14, page 4, ¶5.

14. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

15. In accordance with the USPTO's goals of customer service, compact prosecution, and reduction of cycle time, the Examiner has made every effort to clarify his position regarding claim interpretation and any rejections or objections in this application. Furthermore, the Examiner has again provided Applicants with notice-for due process purposes-of his position regarding his factual determinations and legal conclusions. The Examiner notes and thanks Applicant for his "Remarks" (Paper No. 14 beginning on page 4) traversing the Examiner's positions on various points. If Applicant disagrees with any additional factual determination or legal conclusion made by the Examiner in this Office Action whether expressly stated or implied,4 the Examiner respectfully reminds Applicant to properly traverse the Examiner's position(s) in accordance with 37 C.F.R. §1.111(b) in his next properly filed response. By addressing these issues now, matters where the Examiner and Applicant agree can be eliminated allowing the Examiner and Applicant to focus on areas of disagreement (if any) with the goal towards allowance in the shortest possible time. If Applicant has any questions regarding the Examiner's positions or has other questions regarding this communication or even previous communications, Applicant is strongly encouraged to contact Examiner Andrew J. Fischer

whose telephone number is (703) 305-0292. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's immediate supervisor, Robert Olszewski, can be reached at (703) 308-5183. The fax number for facsimile responses is now (703) 872-9306.

Jescher 5/17/07

Andrew J. Fischer Patent Examiner Art Unit 3627

AJF May 17, 2004

4 *E.g.*, f the Examiner rejected a claim under 103 with two references, although not directly stated, it is the Examiner's implied position that the references are analogous art.